

Emission Trends and SIP Scenarios for SO₂ and NO_x

Patrick Cummins

WRAP Meeting

December 14, 2005

A stylized, teal-colored silhouette of a mountain range is located in the bottom right corner of the slide, extending from the right edge towards the center.

Stationary source issues are a key component of the regional haze plans

- NO_x and SO₂ are the pollutants of concern
- Specific requirements related to BART sources
- 85% to 90% of the NO_x and SO₂ emissions from BART eligible units are from power plants

Where We've Been

- ◆ Western states take leadership role on regional haze
 - Grand Canyon Commission
 - WRAP formed as successor organization
 - 309 option included in final 1999 rule
 - Annex submitted Sept 30, 2000
 - 5 309 SIPs submitted December 2003
 - Conducting state-of-the-art technical analysis

Laws, Regulations and Lawsuits

- ◆ July 1999: Regional Haze Rule
- ◆ July 2001: BART guidelines proposed
- ◆ May 2002: Decision in *Corn Growers* vacates BART
- ◆ Jun 2003: Haze rule revised to incorporate Annex
- ◆ July 2003: EPA revises mobile source section of 309
- ◆ Apr 2004: BART rule and guidelines repropoed
- ◆ Feb 2005: Decision in *CEED* vacates WRAP Annex
- ◆ July 2005: BART rule finalized
- ◆ Aug 2005: BART alternatives & 309 repropoed
- ◆ Sep 2005: Parties file notice to sue on BART
- ◆ Sep 2005: Comments on alternatives proposal
- ◆ Jan 2006?: Final Trading & 309 rule
- ◆ 2006 and beyond: More lawsuits and rule revisions???
- ◆ 2000-2005: No revisions to the Clean Air Act
- ◆ 2004-2005: EPA elects not to extend CAIR to the West

But What About Emissions?

- ◆ 1998-2004 (11 state region & tribal sources):
 - 35% reduction in SO₂ from EGU's
 - 202,500 tons per year (573 K to 370 K)
- ◆ How'd that happen?
 - Centralia
 - 4 Corners and San Juan
 - Craig, Hayden and Metro Denver Plants
 - Navajo
 - Apache
 - Coronado

More Reductions on The Way!!

- ◆ Springerville
- ◆ Commanche
- ◆ San Juan
- ◆ Four Corners
- ◆ Mohave
- ◆ Cholla
- ◆ PacifiCorp Plants
 - Huntington 2, Dave Johnston 3 & 4, Jim Bridger

What's Left?

- Pawnee
 - Boardman
 - 5 to 10 units that are small and/or partially controlled
-
- ◆ Additional 125,000 to 150,000 tons of reduction from these plants

Net Result

- ◆ 2018 SO₂ emissions from existing plants:
 - 222,000 to 247,000 tons per year
 - 0.17 to 0.19 #/mmbtu average emission rate
 - Compares to presumptive BART limit of 0.15
 - Down from 573,000 tpy in 1998
 - Approximately 60% reduction from 1998
 - ◆ 325,000 to 350,000 tons per year reduced
 - Includes increased capacity at existing plants
 - Forecast for SO₂ from new plants is 39,000 tons per year in 2018: approx. 12,000 MW

Where does that leave us?

- ◆ GCVTC recommended 50 to 70% reduction in SO₂ from 1990 levels by 2040
 - 9 state transport region & tribal sources
- ◆ 1990 SO₂ emissions were 829,000 tons
- ◆ 2000 SO₂ emissions were 622,000 tons
- ◆ Current emissions are 501,000
 - Non utilities in 2002: 158,000
 - Utilities in 2004: 343,000
- ◆ 40% reduction achieved in 14 years

9 State 2018 Forecast

◆ Utilities

- 231,000 to 253,000 tons per year
- Includes 34,500 tons per year from new sources

◆ Non Utilities

- 190,300 tons per year
- Includes net 37,000 ton increase: growth less retirements

◆ 2018 total: 422,000 to 444,000 tons per year

◆ 45% to 50% reduction from 1990 levels

- Well below 2018 Annex milestone for 9 states of 480,000 tpy

Comparison to 5 State Program

- ◆ 5 State 309 Milestone for 2018 = 309,000 tons

- For comparison:

- Adjust milestone by 10,000 tons for CEMs

- Move 16,000 tons for suspended smelter to smelter set aside

- Equals 283,000 tons

- 2018 Base Case

- ◆ Existing Utilities: 191,500

- ◆ Non Utilities: 127,300

- ◆ New Utility Sources: 21,300

- ◆ Total: 340,100

- ◆ Additional reductions needed to meet milestone: 57,100

- ◆ Additional reductions expected: 57,000 to 73,000

What about NOx?

- ◆ EGU emissions = about 15% of inventory
- ◆ NOx generally contributes < 10% of haze
- ◆ No significant trend in NOx emissions from power plants
- ◆ Some areas have larger NOx contributions
 - Significant NOx reductions coming from mobile sources
- ◆ NOx emissions are of concern for other reasons (ozone, acid deposition, etc.)

What about NOx?

- ◆ 46 BART-eligible units >200 MW in 13 states that exceed presumptive limits
- ◆ Achieving presumptive limits at BART-eligible units = 30% reduction
- ◆ Plants > 750 MW = 16% reduction
- ◆ Presumptive limits on all units (including non-BART) = 40% reduction
- ◆ Combustion controls on all units = 34% reduction
- ◆ Several utilities have identified problems meeting presumptive limits with combustion controls

Questions and Issues

- ◆ Revisions to 309 SIPs
 - How to do better than BART demonstration
 - 309 states need to determine next steps. Work with stakeholders.
- ◆ BART for 308 and NO_x?
 - Unlikely that SO₂ program will be expanded
 - Trading alternative may have value for NO_x. Possible expansion to all non-CAIR states.
 - Utility only program for NO_x?
- ◆ What non-utility sources are of concern (BART and non-BART)?
 - How many, what kind, where?

In conclusion.....

- ◆ Most of the progress through 2018 will be in the base case (incl., federal mobile source controls)
- ◆ Biggest effect in control case will be from SO₂ reductions that are already in the pipe
 - How do we package our success to meet the requirements (especially when BART remains a moving target)
- ◆ Work with EPA to address outstanding issues with alternative programs and 309.
 - Reaffirm state leadership role, working with stakeholders, to establish solutions that work for the West
- ◆ NO_x is a question that effects more sources
 - Presumptive limits on 750 MW plants is ½ the battle
 - Several options exist. What do sources and states want?
 - Can do some sensitivity runs