

NBTT Management & Content Team Conference Call 5/11/01

Darla Potter, Pete Lahm, Jim Russell, Mark Fitch, Dave Jones
520-326-3646

Pete's overview

- ❖ 1-hour before the WRAP May 24
- ❖ 20 minutes historical stuff (how we go to where we are and what we took to PM workshop)
- ❖ focus on differences between 308/309 (topic brought up by Jim)
- ❖ outcome of PM workshop
- ❖ opportunity to ask some pre-determined questions to help us refine our role
 - we developed our policy under a crucible of several different factors and underlying criteria (equity, 308 reasonable progress – management/control aspect – annual emissions goals,) do we continue to use those criteria as we move forward from the
 - specific policy questions

Issues to ask WRAP to help us move forward from the PM Workshop

Pose in a way to obtain additional feedback that NBTT can use to proceed.

Ask WRAP ** Pursue equitable basis for the Policy. One of the key things was the focus of dealing with larger fire sources. (way to get at Tribal without hitting it head on)

Ask WRAP ** Pursue 308 programs similar to 309 programs for emissions management and control. Or are different programs acceptable? Create an augmentation later as necessary for 308. Relevancy to BSMP/ESMP criteria that can then be applied as needed by each State/Tribe.

Ask WRAP ** Do not specifically discuss #6 but ask for any additional feedback off-line as this was not discussed at the PM Workshop.

Ask WRAP ** NBTT pursue two Policy aspects that are linked together and cannot stand-alone.

- 1) Classification Scheme
- 2) Adjunct Policy – Overarching Concerns – tracking and demonstration of reasonable progress (need to keep fence up between this and Task 2)

Issues

(Jim) Couch policy statements in that through the course of this the policy will be reassessed based on what is learned in the implementation of the policy. (i.e., change classifications) 1st opportunity probably is 2013 – still important to stress that this policy is not set in stone. Dynamic document.

Ensure language isn't overly wildland in the Draft Policy.

#1/#2 combination

(Darla) Expect control from “natural” sources? (i.e., wildfires)

(Darla) Basis for Draft Policy keys from #1/#2 distinction.

(Darla) Seems heavy handed for some fires.

(Darla) Language from RHR is “control” not “reduce”.

(Darla) Do we no longer need the BSMP/ESMP discussion?

(Carl) change “visibility impacts” to “sensitive receptor impacts”.

(Mark) Take policy statements #1/#2 out and separate from the classification statements and write an overarching segment describing what we meant by these statements.

“Control and Management of Fires” (Jim liked this idea) Put it up front as an umbrella statement that will hold through for all classifications regardless of the classification.

Most important aspect to the Draft Policy.

(Pete) Need a Policy Statement to specify difference between “natural” and “anthropogenic”.

Separate Document or One Document with Two Sections (#1-#3, #7 natural events type exemption - for reasonable progress under the rule) and (#4 - #9 classification portion)

#4/#5

(Darla) Most of the consideration at the PM Workshop was on federal wildland fire.

Need to be aware of any additional concerns for other fire.

#6

(Darla) Did not get discussed due to time constraints – any feedback.

#7

(Darla) Natural events type of exemption – what to do with it.

#9

(Darla) Addition of Traditional as determined by each tribe. Equity concern.

(Carl) Gave it all up to the tribes in #9 changes.

(Dave) Concern about the PM Workshop feeling that they agreed that a tribe or Tribal Council deciding to burn on property off reservation land.

(Dave) Need to emphasize that there will be variations from state to state, tribe to tribe, or within a specific area.

(Dave / Jim) Need to emphasize that there are key components to a BSMP and ESMP.