

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dan Olson, Air Quality Administrator
FROM: Lee Gribovicz, Regional Haze Program/E.I. Coordinator
SUBJECT: WRAP Attribution of Haze Workgroup: Review of Phase I Report
DATE: March 24, 2005

This date I participated in a telephone conference call for the full WRAP Attribution of Haze (AoH) Workgroup. This call was set to report on the conclusions from the March 8-9th San Francisco Implementation Workgroup meeting review of final Phase I report, and determine the Anext steps@ for AoH Workgroup as far as the 2005 schedule and topics.

Call Details

Tom Moore noted that the Phase I AoH report is now on the website. Don Arkell reported on the Implementation Workgroup review of the report from their March 8-9th San Francisco meeting. Issues raised concentrated on the large uncertainties on some of the analyses, and the larger than desired Aother@ sources contribution in the modeling results. But it was pointed out that modeling is but one of many information sources that planners have at their disposal for evaluation of the impact, thus they should look further at the EI's and monitoring pieces of this attribution work.

Don went on to point out that there was concern on defining protocols for Agap filling@, and what Ade minimus@ values the planners need to look at for source categories. And boundary conditions raised some concerns, particularly defining the impacts from Mexico & Canada. And in Phase II, the planners want a Projection of Attribution as the control strategies are established. And they identified a need for on-going training on how Attribution results can be used in planning. They are uncomfotable with explaining the Aweight of evidence@ to the public, and the resultant conflicting results. They want help in identifying the more important pieces of the analysis. He noted that there was some sentiment for individual attribution, but it was concluded that the WRAP probably won't do that. The notes of the SF meeting are posted.

The question of what control strategies will be implemented was raised, and it was clarified that the policy forums really haven't defined those changes in any detail. The function of the Workgroup will be to determine the change in Attribution between the 2002 base case, and the 2018 base case if we don't do anything else, and what happens to Attribution for the various control scenarios that get defined.

For future work calls were set for April 20th and May 24th, and the next meeting was tentatively scheduled for June 22nd & 23rd in Seattle.